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Introduction
New Zealand (NZ) Asians have a long history in Aotearoa dating back to the 19th century, with especially pronounced increases in migration over the past few decades. This ethnic category is an exceptionally broad term, which incorporates individuals with ancestry covering an immense geographical and cultural range, with completely different languages, migration narratives, and experiences of discrimination.

There can be a naive assumption that a diverse workforce composition is all that is necessary for ensuring the needs of ethnic and cultural groups are accounted for. Without making a concerted effort to examine the statistics and the communities they aim to represent, we cannot assume our healthcare policies are actually effective for those populations. Asians are often excluded from further analysis, and there is a complete lack of Asian health teaching within the medical curriculums. Too often, policies barely reference Asians apart from tokenistic references to their demographic presence and above-average headline statistics.

Owing to the breadth of this topic, the focus will be on Aotearoa-specific research. For the purposes of this article, all references to ethnicity are within the NZ context. With all the benefits conferred by a multicultural country, equally the responsibilities inherent in diversity must be addressed openly.

Demographics
From the 2013 Census, 11.8% of the population identified as having Asian ancestry, the second largest ethnic minority group. It also had the second highest rate of growth from 2006–2013, especially pronounced in Auckland, Wellington, and Waikato. The Auckland region has 23% of its population identifying as having Asian ancestry, which totals 65% of the Asian population in Aotearoa. The fastest growing Asian subgroups were those identifying with Indian, Chinese, Korean, or Filipino ancestry; these were also the most populous subgroups.

Beyond the headlines
Headline statistics categorise Asians as generally healthy. This allows complacency to assert itself through an absence of Asian health in mainstream discourse. However, there are several factors to consider when interpreting these statistics.

Firstly, broadly presenting Asian populations as healthy can mask drastic disparities in health outcomes within and between subgroups. There is some attempt to stratify Asians into three groups: Chinese, Indian (or South Asian), and Other Asian. However, most of the time, disaggregation is not performed. This is significant because different subgroups can have divergent health outcomes that are hidden when they are averaged out into one single classification. Even with the subgroups, the Other Asian category is especially problematic. It comprises people of ancestry from countries with completely different cultures, languages, and challenges into one amorphous group.

Just as before, disparities within communities of this category can be hidden by the averaging effect of being included with other groups.

Secondly, Asians have the lowest rates of enrolment with primary healthcare providers and the utilisation of those services. This is explored later on, but those who do interact with primary healthcare services may be healthier than the general Asian population. Hence, primary healthcare providers may see less of the health issues that significantly affect Asian communities, reducing the impetus for advocacy.

Thirdly, immigrants admitted more recently may have different profiles from those who migrated earlier on. This stems both from Aotearoa’s shifting desire of immigrant skills reflected in its immigration policies, and the dramatic changes in Asia across this time.

Time spent in Aotearoa also lends varying levels of acculturation and socialisation depending on their time and place in society. Hence, it is important to keep these demographic shifts in mind when interpreting research. Studies that applied to a particular Asian subgroup 20 years ago may be less relevant to the subgroup today, as they could have very different backgrounds despite having the same aggregated ethnicity.

Furthermore, owing to the rapid migration of the past decades, most Asians are first-generation immigrants, although there is an increasingly large block of NZ-born Asians. As immigrants must pass various immigration protocols for both work skills and health, they will naturally contribute to higher rates of labour-force participation, employment, educational attainment, and better health statistics. This is often referred to as the “healthy immigrant effect”. Continuously adding their relatively healthy statistics into the group helps conceal issues that may otherwise be developing.

Healthy immigrant effect
In Aotearoa, there is evidence to suggest the “healthy immigrant effect” begins to dissipate the longer people remain in a country. Comparing between Asian subgroups, NZ-born Indians had the highest all-cause and cardiovascular (CVS) mortality rates. The groups examined included overseas-born and NZ-born Indians, Chinese, and Other Asians. Conversely, Chinese and Other Asians were more likely to have higher cancer mortality rates. From separate census data, Chinese and Other Asians of both genders had higher rates of smoking than South Asians, which may contribute to this relationship.

Between NZ-born and overseas-born Asians, the latter had lower all-cause mortality across all three ethnic subgroups. There was also a dose-response relationship between all-cause mortality and duration of residence. Another study by similar authors examined the effects of socioeconomic and neighbourhood deprivation, with educational attainment. Asians without post-school qualifications or who lived in deprived neighbourhoods had higher mortality rates than those who did not experience those circumstances.

Factors that contribute to the dissipation of the “healthy immigrant
Asian populations. It cannot be assumed that a lack of usage reflects a lack of need. For example, in comparison to European patients, Asian patients attending chronic pain services reported significantly more pain, social impairment, and reduced quality of life. However, as a result of cultural and systemic barriers they were less willing to reveal their distress to others, let alone seek professional help.

A shorter length of residence was also strongly associated with less frequent access to healthcare practitioners. From the 2013 Census, 12% of the Asian population stated they did not have conversational skills in English. Unable to comfortably navigate casual conversation, it is likely that even more are uncomfortable articulating already difficult to explain health issues to health professionals.

Asians also report the highest rates of discrimination out of all ethnicities. Adolescent Asians reported high levels of discrimination and bullying, compounded with the fact that they were also less likely to seek assistance. Another factor that serves to suppress these issues from public purview is the pervasive “model minority” stereotype. Typecast and assumed to be successful in narrowly defined roles, stepping out of the shadow of societal stereotyping can be both confronting and wearisome. Interviews with Asian youth elaborated issues with cultural identities and unmet needs, the latter of which was particularly focused on mental health, sexual health, and disabilities.

Evidence supports the existence of explicit racial prejudice from other New Zealanders. From a survey of 750 people regarding their views towards immigrants, 48.3% of participants indicated that the presence of immigrants was a “burden on the New Zealand social welfare system”, and almost half thought that Chinese and Other Asians (excluding Indians) brought crime to the country.

Within the context of the health system, Asian participants were more likely to experience discrimination from health professionals than Europeans. Experiences of discrimination were associated with worse self-rated health, increased prevalence of depressive symptoms, and usage of cigarettes. This record of discrimination is particularly pertinent to future health professionals. We must consider both our individual roles and the entirety of the health system in perpetuating this discrimination, and how these factors feed into the low rates of Asian engagement with health services.

Women’s health
Asian women had significantly lower rates of cervical screening uptake than all other ethnicities, which was also associated with increased age and lower length of residence. This is especially important as approximately 80% of cervical cancer patients were either never or infrequently screened.

Asian birth weights remain lower than average, particularly for Indians. In 2015, Asian infant death rates had increased by 17% over the previous 5 years. This was not disaggregated, so it was impossible to examine Asian subgroups. Another report showed that Indian women were more likely than European women to have babies born extremely prematurely, yet Indian babies were less likely to receive resuscitation attempts than other ethnicities. Indian babies also had high perinatal mortality rates, especially among those born at 20–24 weeks gestation; this relationship persisted even after accounting for multiple factors. Again, there were inequities in access, with Indian mothers being less likely than European women to register with a Lead Maternity Carer within the first trimester.

Indian women were found to be 1.35 times as likely to develop pre-eclampsia compared to European women. However, if women had risk factors for pre-eclampsia, Indian women were 2.66 times as likely to develop the condition; this was the second-highest of all reported ethnicities behind African women.

Mental health
Self-reported depression was less common among Asians than other ethnic groups, but healthcare bias and cultural factors may have a significant role in underestimating this statistic. Asians were likely to be under-diagnosed compared with Europeans. They had low rates of access to psychiatric services, which is also associated with knowledge, language and cultural barriers. Particularly significant was stigma regarding mental health in various Asian cultures.

There are challenges with assessing mental health issues through a Western model as well. For example, in Cambodian Khmer there is no direct translation of the word “depression”. Difficulties in articulating their thoughts combined with a lack of cultural knowledge from the health professional may lead to missed or inaccurate diagnoses. Some patients may also have a greater tendency to report physical symptoms as a substitute for mental distress; this makes building rapport and taking a detailed social history targeting specific risk factors even more vital.

When it comes to interpreting services, interpreters are neither obligated nor specifically trained to provide cultural advice, but they can be inappropriately used as a proxy. This improperly shifts the burden of responsibility away from the health practitioner.

Apart from bullying and discrimination, Asian youth also reported high levels of depressive symptoms and anxiety. In older Asians, a significant problem was loneliness, which was associated with detrimental health outcomes and wellbeing. Asians were the most likely to report loneliness, but were the third most likely to live alone compared to other ethnicities. Social isolation is a known risk factor for suicide, but from coronial records the vast majority of elderly Asian suicides occurred while they still resided with their families. It should be noted that this was from a sample size of 15 people. In this study, themes of declining physical health and the stresses of acculturation were highlighted. Stigma, barriers with accessing health services, and culture-specific manifestations of mental distress also make identification of warning signs very challenging.

The symptoms of mental distress differ across cultures and generations. Coupled with barriers to access, it is important to keep these factors in mind when interpreting headline statistics. They likely mask a much darker truth. Considering the heterogeneous makeup of the Asian population, it is important that health professionals, particularly if they have personal expertise, are encouraged through recruitment and funding to effectively contend with these issues.

Diet, exercise and related comorbidities
Indians have the highest rates of diabetes compared to other ethnicities and were more likely than Europeans to suffer from the associated adverse outcomes as well.

South Asians overall have very high rates of diabetes, CVs diseases, cholesterol, and hypertension. However, they were also less likely to receive a “Green Prescription” through primary healthcare.

Asians were also the least likely group to be consuming the recommended amount of fruits and vegetables, which did not change between 2002 and 2013. The 2017 Health and Independence Report reiterated this trend among both Asian children and adults. This effect was exacerbated for those living in socioeconomically deprived regions. This indicates a strong need for health promotion, and is an issue primary health providers should keep in mind when screening for relevant conditions.

Physical activity levels were also very low. This corresponds with another report showing Asians had significantly lower levels of participation in sporting events, clubs, competitions, and gym memberships. Among children, Asian girls were the least likely among all
ethnicities and genders to use an active mode of transportation to school. Considering the link between exercise and recreation with both physical and mental health, this indicates a significant need to develop strategies to increase community participation in active recreation. Two potential barriers included insufficient time and the associated cost of the activities. Only limited measures have been taken to directly address this issue. Individual organisations, such as Harbour Sport, have made attempts to construct strategies targeted towards Asian communities, which may be useful frameworks to model from.

While obesity rates were lowest among Asians, headline statistics can again be deeply misleading. Evidence suggests that Asians have similar levels of negative health outcomes at lower body mass indexes (BMI) compared with other ethnicities. Ministry of Health guidelines provide a non-specific recommendation that health professionals should lower management thresholds, particularly if there are additional risk factors such as the presence of abdominal obesity. It also suggests a lower threshold for abdominal obesity as measured by waist circumference. The World Health Organisation provides a few suggestions, with BMIs of > 23 kg/m² and > 27.5 kg/m² to represent low risk and high risk warning points for Asian populations.

Obstacles ahead

In 2002, Dr Ruth D’Souza noted that Asian health research was lacking, despite their population growth and long history in Aotearoa. Agnes Wong in 2015 presents a similar story, despite the population growing 33% from 2006–2013. In 2017, the New Zealand Health Research Strategy (NZHRS) 2017–2027, in its only mention of the Asian population, echoed this problem, but even this was referred from Wong’s report. It made no suggestions. In 2018, a background paper used to advise the NZHRS notes several of the problems discussed earlier in this article about solely looking at headline statistics. Yet again, no suggestions were made beyond a paltry statement about achieving equity.

There will be difficulty in overcoming the inertia that naturally resists the pace of change. Despite the size of the population, Asians are grossly under-represented in leadership positions in politics and public service. In 2018, only 41% of those at the managerial level of State Services identified with an Asian ancestry. Members of Parliament of Asian descent were at a meagre 4% in 2014, the most under-represented ethnic group, which was a reduction compared to 2008. These trends of scarce Asian leadership are a common pattern that exists across many fields. With few positions of influence, normalising and vindicating the needs of those communities will be uniquely challenging.

Limitations

The superficial examination of the issues discussed in this article does not give them their due importance. Little reference has been made to Asian subgroups with smaller populations as well. Also, many dimensions of Asian health have not been examined at all in this article. These include refugees, gender experiences, problem gambling, domestic violence, religion, LGBTQIA+ experiences, people with disabilities, and many more.

A path forwards

Overall, students and health professionals of Asian heritage are well-represented compared to the general population. However, a diverse workforce does not mean inclusive practices are a given, nor properly represented in leadership. Policy plans consisting solely of generic bywords such as “diversity,” “inclusion,” and “equity” with the assumption that non-specific guidelines are enough to serve an incredibly heterogeneous Asian population are not only insufficient, but negligent. Regional strategies such as the ones produced by Auckland, Manukau, Waitakere, and Canterbury District Health Boards enable interventions tailored to the diverse populations they serve.

At a higher level, an overlying national Asian health framework should be developed to guide the creation of regional programmes and their specific initiatives. This involves ensuring Asian representation at the highest levels of policy design, along with additional funding of Asian health providers. Topic-specific strategies, such as for specific medical conditions, should account for Asian populations to a meaningful extent. It also means the development of Asian health strategies along with specific teaching of Asian health and cultures within the medical curriculums. Currently, its absence remains an egregious oversight.

At a ground level, health students should not be tentative about asking for resources and funding specific to Asian health promotion. Giving the issues of the second-largest minority group in Aotearoa their due is hardly an audacious request. Health professionals and students have powerful platforms to lead on these matters. This is especially pertinent for health issues affecting Asian subgroups with smaller populations, which may otherwise remain overlooked. Considering how long these issues have been neglected, coupled with the continued growth of these populations, there is a growing urgency to act more boldly.

It is important to properly represent the interests of the communities we serve, particularly as many of them are disenfranchised and will be left by the wayside unless we actively engage with them. Open communication, co-design of solutions, and empowering community leadership will enable us to best use our knowledge and skills to meet the unique needs of each community. Health promotion is better discussed in Agnes Wong’s 2015 publication. Some understanding of the fundamental differences between Asian cultures and Western society, such as a tendency towards more collectivist perspectives, is also helpful.

At a minimum, disaggregation of the Asian ethnic category should be the default expectation. If relevant, further stratification of Asians may be needed. For example, health outcomes may vary between overseas-born Asians and NZ-born Asians, or even by length of residence. This may not be feasible in the short-term or in every circumstance, but would go a long way in ensuring our policies are animated by the right statistics.

Furthermore, concerted efforts need to be made to address both the low engagement of Asian populations with health services and the significant levels of discrimination they bear. The severity of these issues despite the composition of the healthcare workforce is emblematic of entrenched problems within the health system.

Intertwined with all this is a need to work with, support, and learn from the leadership and health promotion activities of other groups. Collaboration between Māori, Asian, Pacific, and others are essential to rewriting the insidious stereotypes imposed on our communities, and strengthening the bonds between us with new cultural narratives. Enabling inequities to exist for one group implicitly justifies and keeps open the possibility for the discrimination of others.

It is time to step away from the shadow of exclusion. It will not be enough to simply exert our skills in cultural competence at an individual level, nor in working on the edges of an exclusionary healthcare apparatus. There are many challenges to overcome, especially as there is so much to be built without clear guides to follow. Meeting that charge is a weighty responsibility, yet one we must honour for the generations to come.
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